PROXY GROUP OF SEVEN WATER COMPANIES CAPITALIZATION AND FINANCIAL STATISTICS (1) 1994 - 1998, INCLUSIVE

	1998	<u>1997</u> (Mil 1 id	1996 ONS OF DOLLARS)	<u>1995</u>	1994	
CAPITALIZATION STATISTICS		\mu_E.	one or bottomo,			
AMOUNT OF CAPITAL EMPLOYED						
TOTAL PERMANENT CAPITAL	\$864.244	\$775.165	\$723.707	\$ 623.645	\$ 577.916	
SHORT-TERM DEBT	<u>\$33.459</u>	\$36.066	\$45.853	\$32.606	\$27.610	
TOTAL CAPITAL EMPLOYED	\$897.703	\$811,231	\$769,560	\$656.251	\$605,526	
INDICATED AVERAGE CAPITAL COST RATES (2)						
LONG-TERM DEBT	6.1 %	6.5 %	6.7 %	6.5 %	7.2 %	
PREFERRED STOCK	5.9	5.6	5.1	5.0	6.2	
CAPITAL STRUCTURE RATIOS						<u>5 YEAR</u> AVERAGE
BASED ON TOTAL PERMANENT CAPITAL:						AVENAUE
LONG-TERM DEBT	54.7 %	55.2 %	546 %	55.1 %	53.7 %	54.7 %
PREFERRED STOCK	2.5	3.0	3.1	3.3	3.8	3.1
COMMON EQUITY	42.8	41.8	42.3	41.6	42.5	42.2
TOTAL	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
BASED ON TOTAL CAPITAL:						
TOTAL DEBT, INCLUDING SHORT-TERM	56.0 %	56.9 %	57.0 %	56.4 %	56.0 %	56.4 %
PREFERRED STOCK	2.4	2.9	2.8	3.2	3.6	3.0
COMMON EQUITY	41.6	<u>40.2</u>	40.2	<u>40,4</u> 100.0 %	40.4	40.6
TOTAL	100.0 %	100.0 %	<u>100.0</u> %	100.0 %	<u>100.0</u> %	<u>100.0</u> %
FINANCIAL STATISTICS						•
FINANCIAL RATIOS - MARKET BASED						
EARNINGS / PRICE RATIO	6.1 %	6.7 %	7.3 %	7.6 %	7.6 %	7.1 %
MARKET / AVERAGE BOOK RATIO	195.3	163.7	144.7	132.9	136.3	154.6
DIVIDEND YIELD	4.1	5.0	5.7	6.1	5.8	5.4
DIVIDEND PAYOUT RATIO	67.5	75.7	78.5	86.0	77.4	77.0
RATE OF RETURN ON AVERAGE BOOK COMMON EQUITY	11.2 %	10.5 %	10.5 %	10.2 %	10.5 %	10.6 %
COVERAGES - EXCLUDING ALL AFUDC (3)						
BEFORE INCOME TAXES: ALL INTEREST CHARGES	2.84 x	2.78 x	2.72 x	2,71 x	2.73 x	2.76 x
AFTER INCOME TAXES: ALL INTEREST CHARGES	2.14	2.09	2.02	2.02	2.03	2.06
OVERALL COVERAGE: ALL INTEREST + PRD. DIV.	2.08	2.03	1.97	1,96	1.97	2.00
QUALITY OF EARNINGS	~ ~ ~		44.2.64	07.0	60.00	76 4
AFUDC / INCOME AVAILABLE FOR COMMON EQUITY	7.7 %	5.3 %	11.2 %	8.7 % 38.2	5.3 % 38.2	7.6 % 37.3
EFFECTIVE INCOME TAX RATE	35.6	37.3 53.3	37.5 46.7	38.2 39.6	38.2 56.6	37.3 47.4
NET CASH FLOW / CAPITAL EXPENDITURES (4)	41.6 13.8	52.2 14.2	46.7 13.0	39.6 12.9	13.9	13.6
FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS / TOTAL DEBT (5)	3.1 x	14.2 3.1 x	2.9 x	2.8 x	3.0 x	3.0 x
FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS / INTEREST COVERAGE (6)	3.1 X	3.1 X	4.0 4	2,0 X	3.0 X	3.0 X

Exhibit No. 7 Schedule 4 Page 2 of 3

Proxy Group of Seven Water Companies Capitalization and Financial Statistics 1994-1998, Inclusive

Notes:

- (1) All capitalization and financial statistics for the group are the arithmetic average of the achieved results for each individual company in the group, and are based upon financial statements as originally reported in each year.
- (2) Computed by relating actual long-term debt interest or preferred stock dividends booked to average of beginning and ending long-term debt or preferred stock reported to be outstanding.
- (3) Coverages excluding all AFUDC represent the number of times available earnings, excluding all AFUDC, cover fixed charges.
- (4) Net cash flow / capital spending is the percentage of gross construction expenditures, excluding all AFUDC, provided by funds from operations (sum of net income, depreciation, amortization, net deferred income tax and investment tax credits, less total AFUDC), after payment of all cash dividends.
- (5) Funds from operations (sum of net income, depreciation, amortization, net deferred income tax and investment tax credits, less total AFUDC) as a percentage of total debt.
- (6) Funds from operations (as defined in Note 5) plus interest charges divided by interest charges

Selection Criteria:

The basis of selection was to include those domestic water companies: 1) which are included in the Water Company Group of C. A. Turner Public Utility Reports; 2) which are included in Standard & Poor's Compustat Services, Inc., PC Plus Database; 3) which have an SIC Code of 4941 (Water Supply); 4) which have actively traded common stock; and 5) which do not operate in California.

The following six water companies met the above criteria:

American Water Works Co., Inc. Aquarion Company Connecticut Water Service, Inc. E'Town Corporation Middlesex Water Company Philadelphia Suburban Corp. United Water Resources, Inc.

Capital Structure Ratios Based upon Total Capital for the Proxy Group of Seven Water Companies for the Years 1994 through 1998

	<u>1998</u>	1997	<u>1996</u>	<u>1995</u>	1994
Amer, Water Works Co., Inc.					,
Long-Term Debt	60.25 %	57.96 %	57.62 %	57.23 %	60.10 %
Short-Term Debt	2.47	4.12	4.79	5.95	3.58
Preferred Stock	2.71	2.99	3.22	4.02	4.42
Common Equity	34.57	34.93	34.37	32.80	31.90
Total Capital	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %
·					
Conn. Water Service, Inc.					
Long-Term Debt	50.78 %	45.39 %	47.17 %	49.66 %	51.48 %
Short-Term Debt	1.54	7.33	5.02	2.40	2.55
Preferred Stock	0.62	0.64	0.67	0.70	0.73
Common Equity	<u>47.06</u>	<u>46.64</u>	<u>47.14</u>	<u>47.22</u>	<u>45.24</u>
Total Capital	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>99.98</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %
E'town Corporation	50.00 M	E4 00 01	40.00.00	47 A7 W	4E 05 N
Long-Term Debt	52.39 %	51.93 %	42.25 %	47.27 %	45.05 % 6.72
Short-Term Debt	7.71	4.83	15.06	6.59	6.72 3.51
Preferred Stock	2.14	2.52	2.62	2.93	
Common Equity	<u>37.76</u>	<u>40.72</u>	<u>40.07</u>	<u>43.21</u>	44.72
Total Capital	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	100.00 %
Middlesex Water Company					
Long-Term Debt	51.78 %	48.25 %	50.53 %	51.40 %	50.96 %
Short-Term Debt	0.66	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.00
Preferred Stock	3.32	4.55	2.54	2.58	2.87
Common Equity	44.24	46,68	46,93	46.03	46.17
Total Capital	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.01 %	100.00 %
Pennichuck Corporation					
Long-Term Debt	52.87 %	64.86 %	62.31 %	63.19 %	50.58 %
Short-Term Debt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.92
Preferred Stock	0.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Common Equity	<u>46.54</u>	<u>35.14</u>	<u>37.69</u>	<u>36.81</u>	<u>35.50</u>
Total Capital	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %
Philadelphia Suburban Corp.					
Long-Term Debt	52.40 %	52.88 %	54.60 %	52.56 %	49.23 %
Short-Term Debt	1.05	2.34	1.32	1.80	1.30
Preferred Stock	0.64	1.67	2.10	1.98	3.22
Common Equity	45,91	43.11	41.98	43.66	46.25
Total Capital	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %
	Traine 10	107.72	100.50	100.00	700.00 %
United Water Resources, Inc.					
Long-Term Debt	50.61 %	51.61 %	50.01 %	52.91 %	49.09 %
Short-Term Debt	7.20	6.15	7.93	4.02	7.28
Preferred Stock	7.04	7.87	8.73	9.93	10.24
Common Equity	<u>35,15</u>	<u>34.37</u>	<u>33.33</u>	<u>33.14</u>	33.39
Total Capital	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %
Proxy Group of Seven					
Water Companies					
Long-Term Debt	53.01 %	53.27 %	52.07 %	53.46 %	50.93 %
Short-Term Debt	2.95	53.27 % 3.61		53.46 % 2.97	50.93 % 5.05
Preferred Stock	2. 95 2.44		4.88 2.84		3.57
Common Equity	2.44 41.60	2.89 <u>40.23</u>	2.84 40.21	3.16 <u>40.41</u>	3.57 <u>40.45</u>
Total Capital					
rotal Capital	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	100.00 %	<u>100.00</u> %

Source of Information: Standard & Poor's Compustat Services, Inc., PC Plus Database

PROXY GROUP OF EIGHT UTILITIES SELECTED ON THE BASIS OF LEAST RELATIVE DISTANCE CAPITALIZATION AND FINANCIAL STATISTICS (1) 1994 - 1998, INCLUSIVE

	1998	<u>1997</u> (MILLI	1996 ONS OF DOLLARS)	<u>1995</u>	<u>1994</u>	
CAPITALIZATION STATISTICS		V =	,			
AMOUNT OF CAPITAL EMPLOYED TOTAL PERMANENT CAPITAL SHORT-TERM DEBT TOTAL CAPITAL EMPLOYED	\$5,158.764 \$393.534 \$5,552.298	\$4,723.934 \$426,052 \$5,149.986	\$3,993.416 \$ <u>351,945</u> \$4,345,361	\$3,842.181 \$354.923 \$4.197.104	\$3,510.402 \$221.894 \$3,732.295	
INDICATED AVERAGE CAPITAL COST RATES (2) LONG-TERM DEBT PREFERRED STOCK	5.7 % 6.2	6.1 % 6.5	5.7 % 7.1	5.9 % 7.1	5.9 % 7.7	
CAPITAL STRUCTURE RATIOS BASED ON TOTAL PERMANENT CAPITAL: LONG-TERM DEBT	58.3 %	56.6 %	53.8 %	54.5 %	56.2 %	5 YEAR AVERAGE 55.9 %
PREFERRED STOCK COMMON EQUITY TOTAL	3.3 38.4 100.0 %	3.6 39.8 100.0 %	5.8 <u>40.4</u> 100.0 %	6.4 3 <u>9.1</u> 100.0 %	6.7 37.1 100.0 %	5.2 <u>38.9</u> 100.0 %
BASED ON TOTAL CAPITAL: TOTAL DEBT, INCLUDING SHORT-TERM PREFERRED STOCK COMMON EQUITY TOTAL	61.5 % 3.0 <u>35.5</u> 100.0 %	61.9 % 3.2 <u>34.9</u> 100.0 %	58.6 % 5.4 <u>36.0</u> 100.0 %	57.9 % 6.1 <u>36.0</u> 100.0 %	59.1 % 6.2 <u>34.7</u> 100.0 %	59.8 % 4.8 <u>35.4</u> 100.0 %
FINANCIAL STATISTICS						
FINANCIAL RATIOS - MARKET BASED EARNINGS / PRICE RATIO MARKET / AVERAGE BOOK RATIO DIVIDEND YIELD DIVIDEND PAYOUT RATIO	6.1 % 176.9 4.8 78.8	6.9 % 158.2 5.4 77.2	7.6 % 151.5 5.6 75.5	7.0 % 150.8 5.6 87.2	8.4 % 152.4 5.7 66.0	7.2 % 157.9 5.4 76.9
RATE OF RETURN ON AVERAGE BOOK COMMON EQUITY	10.5 %	10.7 %	11.4 %	10.9 %	12.8 %	11.3 %
COVERAGES - EXCLUDING ALL AFUDC (3) BEFORE INCOME TAXES: ALL INTEREST CHARGES AFTER INCOME TAXES: ALL INTEREST CHARGES OVERALL COVERAGE: ALL INTEREST + PRD. DIV.	2.35 x 1.86 1.79	2.44 x 1.89 1.79	2.53 x 1.94 1.80	2.42 x 1.89 1.75	2.54 x 1.96 1.81	2.46 x 1.91 1.79
QUALITY OF EARNINGS AFUDC / INCOME AVAILABLE FOR COMMON EQUITY EFFECTIVE INCOME TAX RATE NET CASH FLOW / CAPITAL EXPENDITURES (4) FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS / TOTAL DEBT (5) FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS / INTEREST COVERAGE (6)	6.7 % 33.9 73.2 15.2 3.2 x	6.5 % 36.4 76.0 15.3 3.3 x	4.3 % 36.9 83.1 18.2 3.4 x	7.2 % 36.4 65.3 16.9 3.1 x	5.6 % 36.0 76.2 16.7 3.1 x	6.1 % 35.9 74.8 16.4 3.2 x

SEE PAGE 2 FOR NOTES.

Proxy Group of Eight Utilities Selected on the Basis of Least Relative Distance Capitalization and Financial Statistics 1994-1998, Inclusive

Notes:

- (1) All capitalization and financial statistics for the group are the arithmetic average of the achieved results for each individual company in the group, and are based upon financial statements as originally reported in each year.
- (2) Computed by relating actual long-term debt interest or preferred stock dividends booked to average of beginning and ending long-term debt or preferred stock reported to be outstanding.
- (3) Coverages excluding all AFUDC represent the number of times available earnings, excluding all AFUDC, cover fixed charges.
- (4) Net cash flow / capital spending is the percentage of gross construction expenditures, excluding all AFUDC, provided by funds from operations (sum of net income, depreciation, amortization, net deferred income tax and investment tax credits, less total AFUDC), after payment of all cash dividends.
- (5) Funds from operations (sum of net income, depreciation, amortization, net deferred income tax and investment tax credits, less total AFUDC) as a percentage of total debt.
- (6) Funds from operations (as defined in Note 5) plus interest charges divided by interest charges

Selection Criteria:

The basis of selection was to include those electric, gas, combination electric and gas, and water utilities: 1) which are included in Standard & Poor's Compustat Services, Inc., PC Plus Database; 2) which have actively traded common stock; 3) which are most similar in risk to Consumers Illinois Water Company based upon an analysis of the least relative distance of eight financial and operating ratios as explained in detail in Ms. Ahern's direct testimony; 4) which have projected growth rates published in either Value Line Investment Survey (Standard Edition) or by I/B/E/S; and 5) which have not cut or omitted their common dividends in the five years ending 1999 or through the time of the preparation of Ms. Ahern's direct testimony, nor are expected by Value Line Investment Survey (Standard Edition) to cut their dividends during the next five years

Source of Information:

Standard & Poor's Compustat Services, Inc., PC Plus Database

Capital Structure Ratios Based upon Total Capital for the Proxy Group Selected on the Basis of Least Relative Distance for the Years 1994 through 1998

	1998	<u>1997</u>	1996	1995	1994
Auroriana Matas Marka Co. Inc.					
American Water Works Co., Inc. Long-Term Debt	60.25 %	57.96 %	57.62 %	57.23 %	60.10 %
Short-Term Debt	2.47	4.12	4.79	5.95	3.58
Preferred Stock	2.71	2.99	3.22	4.02	4.42
Common Equity	34.57	34.93	34.37	32.80	31.90
Total Capital	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %
Berkshire Energy Resources					
Long-Term Debt	49.42 %	51.15 %	43.46 %	46.87 %	45.69 %
Short-Term Debt	8.75	8.28	4.94	0.00	9.40
Preferred Stock	0.40	0.46	11,41	12.42	12.13
Common Equity	41.43	<u>40.11</u>	<u>40.19</u>	<u>40.71</u>	<u>32.78</u>
Total Capital	100.00 %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %
CMS Energy Corp.				50.70 W	04.50.00
Long-Term Debt	66.48 %	62.79 %	59.09 %	59.78 %	61.52 %
Short-Term Debt	3.95	5.47	5.70	6.33 6.61	7.24 7.60
Preferred Stock	2.87	3.41	6.09	27.28	23.64
Common Equity	<u>26.70</u>	28.33	29.12	27,29 100.00 %	<u>23,04</u> 100,00 %
Total Capital	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	100.00 %	100,00 %
Eastern Utilities Associates	44 04 04	46.22 8	48.67 %	50.36 %	53 65 %
Long-Term Debt	41.31 % 7.90	46.33 % 7.03	46.67 % 5.81	4.38	3.42
Short-Term Debt Preferred Stock	7.90 4.34	7.03 3.95	3.81	3.68	3.49
Common Equity	46.45	42.69	41.71	41.58	39.44
Total Capital	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %
, and appear	100.00	122134 1	3.24.44	<u></u>	
Energy West Inc.					
Long-Term Debt	55.38 %	30.05 %	35.88 %	45.09 %	50.90 %
Short-Term Debt	4.52	34.05	24.77	10.94 0.00	5.87
Preferred Stock	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Common Equity	40.10	35.90	39.35	<u>43.97</u> 100.00 %	<u>43.23</u> 100.00 %
Total Capital	100.00 %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	100.00	100.00 %
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc	•	54 70 N	40.00.00	47 4 4 N	E0 00 W
Long-Term Debt Short-Term Debt	53.59 % 20.76	51.79 % 20.65	48.98 % 22.83	47.11 % 22. 23	56.32 % 10.97
Preferred Stock	2.39	2.58	2.86	3.37	3.93
Common Equity	23.26	24,98	25.33	27.29	28.78
Total Capital	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %
Southern Company					
Long-Term Debt	53.09 %	50.32 %	41.15 %	42.62 %	43.01 %
Short-Term Debt	6.85	8.11	7.14	7.98	5.31
Preferred Stock	3.39	3.65	7.35	7.47	7.24
Common Equity	36.69	37.92	44.36	41.93	44,44
Total Capital	100.02 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %	100.00 %
United Water Resources, Inc.					
Long-Term Debt	50.61 %	51.61 %	50.01 %	52.91 %	49.09 %
Short-Term Debt	7.20	6.15	7.93	4.02	7.28
Preferred Stock	7.04	7.87	8.73	9.93	10.24
Common Equity	<u>35.15</u>	<u>34.37</u>	<u>33.33</u>	<u>33.14</u>	33.39
Total Capital	<u>100.00</u> %	100.00 %	100.00 %	<u>100.00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %
Proxy Group of Eight Utilities					
Selected on the Basis of					%
Least Relative Distance					
Long-Term Debt	53.76	50.25	48.11	50.24	52.53
Short-Term Debt	7.80	11.74	10.49	7.73 5.04	6.64
Preferred Stock	2.89	3.11	5.43	5.94 36.00	6.13 % 34.70
Common Equity Total Capital	35.55 100.00 %	34.90 100.00 %	35.97	36,09	<u>34.70</u> 100.00
Total Capital	<u>100,00</u> %	<u>100.00</u> %	100.00 %	<u>100.00</u> %	100.00

Basis for the Selection of the Proxy Group of Eight Utilities Selected on the Basis of Least Relative Distance

	Pre-Tax Interest Coverage (1)	Common Equity Ratio (2)	Fixed Asset Turnover (3)	AFUDC to Net Income (4)	Cash Flow as a % of Permanent Capitalization (5)	Net Cash Flow to Expenditures (6)	Funds Flow Interest Coverage (7)	Operating Earnings Stability (8)	Sum of Distance (9)
American Water Works Co., Inc.	2.1805	0.3651	0.2082	0.1142	0.0747	0.5217	2.5646	4.9155	0.7582
Berkshire Energy Resources	2.3920	0.4514	0.4732	0.0000	0.1207	0.7785	3.1195	4.0072	0.7267
CMS Energy Corp.	2.1238	0.3180	0.4437	0.0649	0.1140	0.7128	3.1849	4.4679	0.7454
Eastern Utilities Associates	2.3425	0.4939	0.5174	0.0404	0.1195	0.9040	3.3064	3.6575	1.0310
Energy West Inc.	2.4776	0.5036	0.8333	0.0000	0.1462	0.7435	3.4706	4.6681	1.2794
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	1.5143	0.3545	0.5204	0.1287	0.0644	0.4993	1.6402	3.2851	1.4788
Southern Company	2.8460	0.4445	0.3208	0.0137	0.1141	0.9149	3.6114	3.9472	1.3373
United Water Resources, Inc.	2.1668	0.3737	0.2153	0.1122	0.0801	0.5636	2.8226	5.0084	0.8760
Consumers Illinois Water Company	2.0964	0.4707	0.1688	0.0456	0.0751	0.6179	2.5829	4.1801	0.0000

See page 5 for notes.

Basis for the Selection of the Proxy Group of Eight Utilities Selected on the Basis of Least Relative Distance

Notes:

- (1) Pre-tax interest coverage represents the number of times available earnings, before income taxes, excluding all allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC) cover total interest charges, average for the years 1996, 1997 and 1998.
- (2) Common equity ratio is the ratio of total common equity to permanent capitalization (the sum of total long-term debt, current maturities, total preferred stock and total common equity), average for the years 1996, 1997 and 1998.
- (3) Fixed asset turnover is the ratio of total operating revenues to gross utility plant, average for the years 1996, 1997 and 1998.
- (4) AFUDC to net income is the ratio of total AFUDC to income available for common equity, average for the years 1996, 1997 and 1998.
- (5) Cash flow as a percent of permanent capitalization is the ratio of funds from operations (sum of net income, depreciation, amortization, net deferred income tax and investment tax credits, less total AFUDC) to permanent capitalization (the sum of total long-term debt, current maturities, total preferred stock and total common equity), average for the years 1996, 1997 and 1998.
- (6) Net cash flow to capital expenditures is the ratio of gross construction expenditures, excluding all AFUDC, provided by funds from operation (as defined in Note 5), after payment of all cash dividends, average for the years 1996, 1997 and 1998.
- (7) Funds flow interest coverage is the ratio of funds from operations (as defined in Note 5) plus total interest charges to total interest charges, average for the years 1996, 1997 and 1998.
- (8) Operating earnings stability is an index of the variation in quarterly before-income tax operating income for the years 1996, 1997 and 1998. It is calculated by dividing the standard error of the estimate of a regression about a trend line by the mean. It is analogous to the coefficient of variation.
- (9) Sum of distance is calculated as the squared distances between the eight operating / financial ratios of each firm and Consumers Illinois Water Company, summing the squared distances, and then calculating the square root of the summation.

Source of Information:

Standard & Poor's Compustat Services, Inc., PC Plus Database Consumers Illinois Water Company audited financial statements



UTILITY REGULATORY POLICY IN THE UNITED STATES AND CANADA

COMPILATION 1995-1996

OF THE

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGULATORY UTILITY COMMISSIONERS

Michael Foley Acting Executive Director

Jessica O'Connor-Petts Research Analyst

TABLE 308 - AGENCY AUTHORITY OVER RATE OF RETURN - WATER UTILITIES

		Caribal	N-abad		6	in detail					
	Agency	Capital	method	Agency +*	**	n deter	mining	rate of	returi	} _	Duration of
	deter-	structure	i					, <u>,</u>	• • •		call protec-
	mines	is adjusted		1		ļ]	1	1	1	tion provision
	rate of	to exclude	NO ONE	Dis-	Comp-	1	i]	1		influences
	return	non-utility	method	count-	arable	Earn-	Mid-	Capital			judgment in
AGENCY		financing	ALL are		earn-	ings/	point	asset	Risk	ł	determining
AGENCI				1							
	general	when it is	consid-	,	ings	price	app-	pricing			rate of
	authority	traceable	ered	flow	test	ratio	roach	mode l	ium	Other	return
ALABAMA PSC 11/	X	X		X	1	-					
ALASKA PUC	x	[x	f .	1	X	ĺ	ĺ	i !	į į	i	Possible.
ARIZONA CC	Ж	Ϊχ	X 2/	X 6/		1	l .		l .	1	1
		1 ^		x 9/		l .	Į.	1 .	ł	l	3
ARKANSAS PSC	X		X			}	i	ا با	ا	۱	l
CALIFORNIA PUC	X	X 1/	X 2/	X	X			X	X	X	Possible.
COLORADO PUC	X	X	l .	X 7/	X		l	1		j	1
CONNECTICUT DPUC	X	X	l	X	1		l .		ł	ł	1
DELAWARE PSC	X		X: 2/	X	X	ł	l	1	i	X	i ·
	DOES NOT	DECLUATE	l ^ -′	1 ^	1 ^	ł	ł		l	١ ^	ł
DC PSC				}	ļ		J	١.	j	j	3
FLORIDA PSC	X	X 1/	X 2/		 -		 	ļ			
GEORGIA PSC	DOES NOT I	REGULATE	l	1		l	1	1	1	1	
HAWAII PUC	X) x	X 2/	ł	1	ł	1	1	l	X	1
IDAHO PUC	x	l ŝ	l " -'	X 7/	X	x	I	1]		1
1			X 2/] "''	} ^ .	, "	x	j .]	x	}
ILLINOIS CC	X	X			1	Ì	^	1		^	1
INDIANA URC	Х.	<u> </u>	X		<u> </u>				<u> </u>		
IOWA UB	X	X 1/	Х	X	l .	1	1	ł	X	x 5/	I
KANSAS SCC	X	X	ŀ	X	I	l	t	1	1	ł	1
KENTUCKY PSC	x	x	X 2/	x	x	х	l x	j .	j	×	1
		^	l " "	l â	l "	٦ .		1	l	"	
LOUISIANA PSC	X				t		1	1	ļ.	1	1
MAINE PUC	X	8/	X 7/	X			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
MARYLAND PSC	Х	X	l	X	1	1	1	l .		X 5/	
MASSACHUSETTS DPU	l x	l x	1	X 4/	J	ļ	j	ļ)	X 4/	j
MICHIGAN PSC	χ̈́	X	x	X	X	1	X	l x	X	X	1
	DOES NOT		1 ~	"	l "	ŀ	"	1 "	l "	"	1
MINNESOTA PUC	- '		ľ	1	۱	i	ľ	Į.	1	1	ł
MISSISSIPPI PSC	X	X		X	X			ļ			
MISSOURI PSC 12/	X) X	}	X	ļ	}	ļ	1	1	ļ	}
MONTANA PSC	X	l x	1	X	X	ļ	Ì	l		l	1
NEBRASKA PSC	l x	X	х	ŀ		1	1	1	1	i	1
	x	Î	l "	×	x	i x	į	ł	l	i	1
NEVADA PSC			i		^	, ^	i	Į.	1	1	1
NEW HAMPSHIRE PUC	X	X		X		<u> </u>	ļ			ļ	Yes
NEW JERSEY BPU 11/	X	X	X	i		ļ.	•	X	X	X	t .
NEW MEXICO PUC	l x	l x	X 2/	X	1	l	ł	1	l	l x	i
NEW YORK PSC	χ̈́	X	Ϊχ̈́	X 6/	1	i	1	l	1	ΪX	ľ
NORTH CAROLINA UC	â	l $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	x 2/	x v	x	ļ	I	X	x	l x	1
) ^ ² /	1 ^	1 ^	1)	, ^	, ^	1 ^	1
NORTH DAKOTA PSC	DOES NOT		 		ļ	 		 	 -	 	II.
OHIO PUC	X	X	Х	X 6/	i	1	1	ı	1	X 6/	No decision.
OKLAHOMA CC	X	X	X 2/	X	i	i	i	l	ł	X	l
OREGON PUC	X	X 1/	l '	X	l	ļ.	[, x	l	1	1
PENNSYLVANIA PUC	x	x ''	X 2/	x	x	X	x	· *	l	x	Maybe, if soon
					1	^	1 ^	I	I		
RHODE ISLAND PUC	X	Х	<u> </u>	X	X	 		ļ	ļ	X 3/	
SOUTH CAROLINA PSC	X	X	X	X	i .	l	1	X	X	ł	1
SOUTH DAKOTA PUC	DOES NOT	REGULATE	l	l	1	Ī	i	ì	l	1	1
TEXAS NRCC	x .	X]	1)	}]	ļ	X	1	1
			l		l	1		1	I ^	1	l
UTAH PSC	X	X		X	 	 	 			 	
VERMONT PSB	X	X	ľ	Х	X	l	1	ł	ł	X	ł
VIRGINIA SCC	i x	X	X 2/	1	ļ	1	1	1	l	1	1
WASHINGTON UTC	X	X	j	X	į .	1]	1	1	1	•
	x	x	X 2/	l x	X		1	1 x	l x	x	1
WEST VIRGINIA PSC					1 ^	1	1		^		1
WISCONSIN PSC	X	X	X 2/	X		ì	1	X		X	I
WYOMING PSC	X	ICB	X 2/	<u> </u>	X			X		X 10/	<u> </u>
410111110 100	X	X	I]	X]	1]]]
PUERTO RICO PSC 11/		1	Y 2/	l y	l Y	1	1	1	1	l v	1
PUERTO RICO PSC 11/ VIRGIN ISLANDS PSC	Х	8/	X 2/	X	X	 -	 		<u> </u>	X	ļ
PUERTO RICO PSC 11/		1	X 2/ X 2/ X 2/	X	X			<u> </u>	X	X	

^{**} For definitions of terms, please consult the Glossary of Terms at the back of this book. ICB=Case-by-Case Basis

632

FOOTNOTES - TABLE 308 AGENCY AUTHORITY OVER RATE OF RETURN

- Non-utility investment dollars are always excluded from rate base. Where non-utility investment is comparatively small, capital ratios are not adjusted. When non-utility investment is large, we usually remove non-utility investment from equity.
- 2/ Commission favors no single method, but rather that which produces the most reasonable results.
- 3/ It may use any method it desires especially in the case of a small company.
- 4/ DCF is preferred, but Department approves other methods which check DCF result; risk spread analysis preferred by a slight margin. Financial condition of utility also given serious consideration.
- 5/ DCF is preferred; other methods are considered.
- 6/ No single method, however, discounted cash flow is frequently used.
- 7/ DCF has been the preferred method, but its results should be checked with other methods.
- 8/ Never an issue before this agency.
- 9/ Agency favors DCF, but any method presented is considered.
- 10/ Most jurisdictional water operations are so small an operation ratio or cash flow basis is used rather than a ROR determination.
- Commission did not respond to request for update information; this data may not be current.
- DCF has been the preferred method, but its results are generally checked with other methods such as risk premium and CAPM.

Consumers Illinois Water Company Stock Price Index Level, Earnings Per Share and Dividends Per Share for the S&P Utilities Index and the S&P 500 Composite Index Quarterly for the Third Quarter 1989 through the Third Quarter 1999

S&P Utilities Index S&P 500 Composite Index EPS -DPS -EPS -DPS -Adjusted to Adjusted to Adjusted to Adjusted to Stock Price Stock Price Stock Price Stock Price Stock Price Stock Price Year Quarter Index Index Index Index Index Index (4 qtr. total) (4 qtr. total) (4 qtr. total) (4 qtr. total) 1989 9.72 7.69 349.15 23.69 10.67 3rd 142.35 10.42 7.89 353.40 22.90 11.05 4th 156.34 10.29 1990 8.10 339.94 21.67 11.32 142.72 1st 141.39 9.86 8.18 358.02 21.26 11.67 2nd 9.97 8.16 306.05 21.74 11.84 3rd 133.02 143.59 9.65 8.29 330.22 21.34 12.10 4th 20.87 1991 144.82 9.50 8.24 375.22 12.12 1st 9.45 19.35 2nd 136.58 8.41 371.16 12.15 3rd 145.18 9.34 8.53 387.86 17.82 12.28 4th 155.16 8.60 8.51 417.09 15.97 12.20 1992 1st 138.68 8.63 8.64 403.69 16.20 12.32 17.05 12.32 2nd 147.33 9.02 8.54 408.14 156.79 9.50 8.55 417.80 18.04 12.39 3rd 19.09 10.64 435.71 12.38 4th 158.46 8.55 1993 173.45 10.86 8.55 451.67 19.84 12.48 1st 175.34 11.02 8.56 450.53 19.33 12.52 2nd 3rd 185.39 10.75 8.61 458.93 20.41 12.52 8.62 8.66 466.45 21.88 12.58 4th 172.58 1994 156.33 8.70 8.70 445.77 22.71 12.71 1st 2nd 153.99 8.88 8.87 444.27 25.20 12.84 462.69 27.33 12.93 3rd 152,50 9.37 8.93 30.60 4th 150.12 11.57 8.86 459.27 13.18 11.89 1995 8.90 500.71 32.60 158.38 13.18 1st 2nd 167.86 12.12 8.83 544.75 34.44 13.37 3rd 184.46 12.56 8.70 584.41 35.18 13.58 4th 202.58 12.30 8.88 615.93 33.96 13.79 1996 190.84 12.79 645.50 34.04 14.10 1st 8.94 198.08 13.03 9.00 670.63 34.91 14.27 2nd 3rd 188.80 13.94 9.46 687.31 36.00 14.66 4th 198.81 14.61 9.64 740.74 38.72 14.90 1997 14.72 40.24 15.06 1st 189.82 9.82 757.12 40.55 15.16 198.39 13.74 10.01 885.14 2nd 13.03 947.28 40.64 15.33 205.24 10.04 3rd 4th 235.81 9.52 10.07 970.43 39.72 15.50 1998 246.50 9.10 10.17 1101.75 39.54 15.65 1st 38.97 15.95 2nd 246.75 8.03 10.34 1133.84 255.53 9.20 10.21 1017.01 38.09 16.15 3rd 4th 259.62 12.15 10.13 1229.23 37.71 16.20 1999 1st 232.91 12.39 10.15 1286.37 38.38 16.45 2nd 257.51 13.41 9.95 1372.71 41.02 16.45 43.96 16.64 3rd 242.77 14.83 9.92 1282.71 % Change from 3rd Quarter 1989 -3rd Quarter 1999 52.57 % 29.00 % 267.38 % 85.56 % 55.95 % 70.54 %

Source of Information: Standard & Poor's Security Price Index Record Standard & Poor's Current Statistics

Consumers Illinois Water Company Example of the Inadequacy of a DCF Return Rate Related to Book Value When Market Value Exceeds Book Value

Line No.	- .	Mar	ket Value	Bool	k Value
1.	Per Share	\$	24.00	\$	15.00
2.	DCF Cost Rate (1)		10.60%		10.60%
3.	Return in Dollars	\$	2.544	\$	1.590
4.	Dividends (2)	\$	1.248	\$	1.248
5.	Growth in Dollars	\$	1.296	\$	0.342
6.	Return on Market Value		10.60%		6.63% (3)
7.	Rate of Growth on Market Value		5.40% (4)		1.43% (5)

Notes:

- (1) Comprised of 5.2% dividend yield and 5.4% growth.
- (2) \$24.00 * 5.2% yield = \$1.248
- (3) \$1.590 / \$24.00 market value = 6.63%.
- (4) Expected rate of growth per market based DCF model.
- (5) Actual rate of growth when DCF cost rate is applied to book value (\$1.590 possible earnings \$1.248 dividends = \$0.342 for growth / \$24.00 market value = 1.43%).

Consumers Illinois Water Company Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate Through Use of the Discounted Cash Flow Model Summary of Conclusion

	Proxy Group of Seven Water Companies	Proxy Group of of Eight Utilities Selected on the Basis Least Relative Distance
Single Stage Discounted Cash Flow Model (1)	9.1 %	10.4 %
Quarterly Version of the Discounted Cash Flow Model (2)	8.9	10.6
3. Conclusion	9.0 %	<u>10.5</u> %

Notes:

- (1) From Schedule 10.
- (2) From page 2 of Schedule 11.

Consumers Illinois Water Company Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate Through Use of the Single Stage Discounted Cash Flow Model Summary of Conclusion

Proxy Group of Seven Water Companies

Proxy Group of of Eight Utilities Selected on the Basis Least Relative Distrance

Based upon Historical and Projected Growth in DPS, EPS, and BR+SV

1. Dividend Yield (1)	3.7 %	5.2 %
Dividend Growth Component (2)	0.1	0.1
3. Yield	3.8	5.3
4. Growth Rate (3)	5.3	4.8
5. Indicated Return Rate	9.1 %	10.1 %
	Based upon Projected Growth in I	<u>EPS</u>
6. Dividend Yield (1)	3.7 %	5.2 %
7. Dividend Growth Component (2)	0.1	0.1
8. Yield	3.8	5.3
9. Growth Rate (3)	5.2	5.3
10. Indicated Return Rate	9.0 %	10.6 %
11. Conclusion	<u> </u>	<u>10.4</u> %

Notes:

- (1) From Schedule 12.
- (2) This reflects a growth rate component equal to onehalf the conclusion of growth rate (from page 1 of Schedule 14) x Line Nos. 1 and 6 to reflect the period payment of dividends (Gordon Model) as opposed to the continuous payment. Thus, 3.7% x
- (3) Conclusion of growth from page 1 of Schedule 14.

Consumers Illinois Water Company Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate Through Use of the Quarterly Version of the Discounted Cash Flow Model (1) for the Proxy Group of Seven Water Companies and the Proxy Group of Eight Utilities Selected on the Basis of Least Relative Distance

		Based upon Historical and Projected Growth in DPS, EPS, and BR+SV (2)								
	Based Spot C Market f March 2	losing	Based to Average of Market F Last 3 M	of Closing	Based L Average of Market P Last 6 Me	of Closing	Based L Average of Market F Last 12 M	of Closing Prices for	Aver	age
Proxy Group of Seven Water Companies										
American Water Works Co., Inc.	12.2	%	12.1	%	11.6	%	11.2	%	11.8	%
Conn. Water Service, Inc.	6.8		6.6		6.5		6.9		6.7	
Etown Corporation	6.3		6.3		6.6		7.1		6.6	
Middlesex Water Company	7.0		6.8		6.7		7.1		6.9	
Pennichuck Corporation	10.9		9.7		10.0		10.4		10.3	
Philadelphia Suburban Corp.	11.3		11.1		10.8		10.7		11.0	
Inited Water Resources, Inc.	6.7		6.6		6.7		7.2	_	6.8	
Average	8.7	%	8.5	*	8.4	. %	8.7	. %	8.6	%
Proxy Group of Eight Utilities Selected on the Basis of Least Relative Distance						•				
American Water Works Co., Inc.	12.2	%	12.1	%	11.6	%	11.2	%	11.8	%
Berkshire Energy Resources	8.5		8.6		9.0		9.7		9.0	
CMS Energy Corp.	20.3		18.1		17.2		16.4		18.0	
astern Utilities Associates	7.2		7.4		7.4		7.5		7.4	
nergy West Inc.	11.9		11.5		11.4		11.3		11.5	
lawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	10.6		10.8		10.2		9.7		10.3	
Southern Company	11.4		10.7		10.5		10.3		10.7	
Inited Water Resources, Inc.	6.7		6.6	•	6.7		7.2	=	6.8	-
Average	11.1	%	10.7	%	10.5	%	10.4	%	10.5	- %

See page 2 for notes.

Consumers Illinois Water Company Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate Through Use of the

Quarterly Version of the Discounted Cash Flow Model (1)

for the Proxy Group of Seven Water Companies and the

Proxy Group of Eight Utilities Selected on the Basis of Least Relative Distance

	Based upon Projected Growth in EPS (6)							
	Based upon Spot Closing Market Prices at March 21, 2000	Based Upon an Average of Closing Market Prices for Last 3 Months (3)	Based Upon an Average of Closing Market Prices for Last 6 Months (3)	Based Upon an Average of Closing Market Prices for Last 12 Months (3)	Average			
Proxy Group of Seven Water Companies								
American Water Works Co., Inc.	11.5 %	11.4 %	10.9 %	10.5 %	11.1 %			
Conn. Water Service, Inc.	7.2	7.0	7.0	7.3	7.1			
Etown Corporation	7.8	7.8	8.1	8.6	8.1			
Middlesex Water Company	7.5	7.3	7.1	7.5	7.4			
Pennichuck Corporation	7.5	6.4	6.7	7.0	6.9			
Philadelphia Suburban Corp.	13.6	13.4	13.1	13.0	13.3			
United Water Resources, Inc.	9.3	<u>9</u> .3	9.4	9.9	9.5			
Average	9.2 %	8.9 %	8.9 %	9.1 %	9.1 %			
American Water Works Co., Inc. Berkshire Energy Resources CMS Energy Corp. Eastern Utilities Associates Energy West Inc. Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc. Southern Company United Water Resources, Inc.	11.5 % 6.5 18.1 6.7 11.7 11.2 14.1 9.3	11.4 % 6.5 15.9 6.8 11.3 11.4 13.4 9.3	10.9 % 6.9 15.0 6.9 11.2 10.9 13.2 9.4	10.5 % 7.6 14.2 7.0 11.1 10.3 13.0 9.9	11.1 % 6.9 15.8 6.9 11.3 11.0 13.4 9.5			
Average	11.1%	<u>10.8</u> %	10.6 %	10.5%	10.7 %			
Conclusion								
Proxy Group of Seven	00 %	0.7. 0/	07 %	00 0	۰۰ ~			
Water Companies	9.0 %	<u>8.7</u> %	<u>8.7</u> %	<u>8.9</u> %	8.9 %			
Proxy Group of Eight Utilities Selected		*						
on the Basis of Least Relative Distance	<u>11.1</u> %	10.8_ %	10.6_ %	10.5_ %	<u>10.6</u> %			

Notes: (1) See Equation (7-2) on page 5 of this Schedule.

- (2) Calculated using historical and projected growth in DPS, EPS, and BR+SV for each company calculated from the individual growth rates shown on page 1 of Schedule 14 of this Exhibit in a manner identical to the conclusion of growth for each proxy group shown in column 9 on page 1 of Schedule 14 of this Exhibit.
- (3) The average 3-month closing market price is based upon the market price on the last trading day of each of the three months ended February 29, 2000.
- (4) The average 6-month closing market price is based upon the market price on the last trading day of each of the six months ended February 29, 2000.
- (5) The average 12-month closing market price is based upon the market price on the last trading day of each of the twelve months ended February 29, 2000.
- (6) Calculated using the average projected five year growth rate in EPS from column 8 on page 1 of Schedule 14 of this Schedule.

REGULATORY FINANCE:

UTILITIES' COST OF CAPITAL

Roger A. Morin, PhD

in collaboration with Lisa Todd Hillman

1994
PUBLIC UTILITIES REPORTS, INC.
Arlington, Virginia

Chapter 7 Alternative DCF Models

7.1 The Quarterly DCF Model

The standard annual form of the DCF model:

$$K = D_1/P_0 + g$$

assumes an annual dividend payment, a yearly increase in dividends starting exactly one year from the present, a constant rate of dividend growth, and a stock price P_0 that is determined on a dividend payment date. But because dividends are normally paid quarterly, the investor's required return should be assessed with a DCF model that recognizes quarterly payments.

It is a rudimentary tenet of security valuation theory discussed in Chapter 4 that when determining investor return requirements, the cost of equity is the discount rate that equates the present value of future cash receipts to the observed market price. Clearly, given that dividends are paid quarterly and given that the observed stock price reflects the quarterly nature of dividend payments, the market required return must recognize quarterly compounding, for the investor receives dividend checks and reinvests the proceeds on a quarterly schedule. Perforce, a stock that pays 4 quarterly dividends of one dollar commands a higher price than a stock that pays a 4-dollar dividend a year hence. Since investors are aware of the quarterly timing of dividend payments and since the stock price already fully reflects the quarterly payment of dividends, it is essential that the DCF model used to estimate equity costs also reflect the actual timing of quarterly dividends.

The traditional annual DCF model is based on the limiting assumptions that dividends are paid annually, and that dividends increase once a year starting exactly one year from the present. These assumptions are unnecessarily restrictive. Most companies, including utilities, in fact pay dividends on a quarterly basis. The quarterly DCF model discussed in subsequent sections of this chapter rests on the exact same assumptions as the annual DCF model except that the DCF model is refined to reflect the actual corporate practice of paying dividends quarterly rather than once a year. The quarterly version of the DCF model also assumes that the dividend rate is raised once a year instead of every quarter.

As both a practical and theoretical matter, stock yield calculations must be adjusted for the receipt of cash flows on a quarterly basis. The annual DCF

model inherently produces incorrect results because it assumes that all cash flows received by investors are paid annually. By analogy, a bank rate on deposits that does not take into consideration the timing of the interest payments understates the true yield if the customer receives the interest payments more than once a year. The actual yield will exceed the stated nominal rate. Bond yield calculations are also routinely adjusted for the receipts of semi-annual interest payments. What is true for bank deposits and for bonds is equally germane for common stocks.

Most, if not all, finance textbooks discuss frequency of compounding in computing the yield on a financial security. The handbooks that accompany popular financial calculators used almost universally by the financial community contain abundant directions with respect to frequency of compounding.

Appendix 7-A formally derives the quarterly DCF model, which has the following form:

$$K = \frac{\left[D_1 \left(1 + K\right)^{3/4} + D_2 \left(1 + K\right)^{1/2} + D_3 \left(1 + K\right)^{1/4} + D_4\right]}{P_0} + g \qquad (7-1)$$

where

 D_1 , D_2 , D_3 , D_4 = quarterly dividends expected over the coming year

g = expected growth in dividends

 P_0 = current stock price

K = required return on equity

Equation 7-1 must be solved by iteration because K appears on both sides of the equation. Note that an even more general form of the quarterly DCF model can be derived for the case where the stock price is not determined on a dividend payment date. If we let f_1 , f_2 , f_3 , and f_4 denote the fraction of the year before the quarterly dividends are received, Equation 7-1 becomes:

$$K = \frac{\left[D_{1} \left(1 + K\right)^{1 - l_{1}} + D_{2} \left(1 + K\right)^{1 - l_{2}} + D_{3} \left(1 + K\right)^{1 - l_{3}} + D_{4}^{1 - l_{4}}\right]}{P_{0}} + g \tag{7-2}$$

In the special case where the stock price happens to be determined on a dividend payment date, f_1, f_2, f_3 , and f_4 are equal to 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1.00 and Equation 7-2 reduces back to Equation 7-1.